Ergonomics Assessment of Dentist Work Function and Suggested Improvements

Rozlina Md Sirat^{1,*}, Nur Azmina Johari², Azanizawati Ma'aram¹, Aini Zuhra Abdul Kadir¹, Mohd Firdaus Mohd Taib¹, Norizah Hj Redzuan¹

> ¹School of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia 81310 UTM Johor Bahru Johor, Malaysia

> > ²School of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Sains Malaysia 14300 Nibong Tebal Penang, Malaysia

ABSTRACT

Dentistry has been considered as a demanding profession. However, previous study asserted that they have a high frequency of and are prone to developing musculoskeletal disorder (MSD). This is due to the need of high concentration and precision in executing their tasks. The main purpose of this study is to analyze the working posture in dental clinic and suggest improvements based on the identified problems. A study has been done at the University Health Care (UHC) at the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM). Hazards identification, risk assessment and risk control (HIRARC) analysis was used to identify and asses the hazards which was then translated into a Pareto chart. Body discomfort survey (BDS) form was also used to identify which parts of the body that affected the dentist based on an interview. Subsequently, the posture of the dentist on the job has been observed and analyzed by using a rapid upper limb assessment (RULA) analysis. The final score on the existing posture was found to be 7, thereby needing further investigation and some specific changes to be made. Engineering analysis and design was also suggested in the study after going through an evaluation matrix via morphological chart and static analysis using CATIA software. The final score of the RULA analysis was 2 which means that the proposed measures were deemed to produce an acceptable posture.

Keywords: Ergonomics, musculoskeletal disorder, dentistry, working posture

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The definition of ergonomics is to study the interaction between human and machine and to improve the performance of systems by improving the interaction [1]. According to DOSH (2018) [2], the effect of working posture, force exertion and task frequency reportedly has a positive relationship to upper limb musculoskeletal disorders (MSD)[3]. Precision and concentration are the demands of dentistry profession. Most dentists treat their patients in a sitting position.

^{*}Corresponding email: rozlina@utm.my

Several key risk factors can lead to injuries caused by poor ergonomics. On the other hand, by considering good ergonomics practice at workplace, in most cases resulted in improved quality and productivity and should create a safety culture [4-6].

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

A great deal of studies has been carried out on the dentists and dental students [7-12] revealing the fact that dentists have a high frequency and tendency to suffer from MSD since 1980s that resulted in muscle stiffness at the back, neck and shoulder [9-11]. MSD among dentists occurred because of prolonged sitting, repetitive movements and sustained hold posture for certain period of time before completing the treatments [13-16].

The works done in [7-8, 11-12, 17-18] suggested that there is a continual challenge faced by the health workers including the dentists to have a proper posture since many of them perform their duties in less than ideal positions and are working at any time in one place for a long period of time. Lower back and shoulder were the main parts of the body that contributed to the pain due to sedentary seated posture experienced by the dental professionals [7-8, 16], repetitive pinching motions and vibrations of instruments [11, 17, 19] that may ultimately lead to MSD [9]. However, most of the dentists ignored the symptoms because they think and assume that it is only a minor chronic disease [8, 15].

From the literature, it is proven that most of the respondents in many countries agreed to the fact that they experienced pains at the lower back and shoulder. There is a need to have awareness on a proper ergonomics postures in executing their routine tasks in order to reduce the risk of MSD [10, 15, 20]. Thus it is one of the aims of the study to identify or prove that the dentists in UTM are also having similar symptoms as reported by other dentists in many countries. Then, some control measures were suggested to reduce the risk of these hazards. There are only three dentists in UTM who are selected as the respondents, participating in the study and to also analyze their feedbacks.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

The methodology for the study is shown in Figure 1. Three methods/tools were used and implemented to identify and analyze the problems based on the followings:

- 1. Hazards identification risk assessment and risk control (HIRARC) analysis and *Pareto* chart
- 2. Use of body discomfort survey (BDS) form (interview)
- 3. Rapid upper limb assessment (RULA) analysis

In order to improve the outcome based on the identified problems, an engineering analysis was performed. The proposed design was developed after a conceptual design and an evaluation of the design based on a matrix using morphological chart have been carried out. The chosen design has gone through some improvements based on the dentist needs and engineering analysis (engineering static analysis using CATIA software).



Figure 1: Methodology of study

4.0 PROBLEMS IDENTIFICATION

UTM Health Care (UHC) was selected to be the main subject of the case study. The workplace environment was systematically observed and all the three dentists were interviewed. The data was then analyzed using HIRARC analysis with reference to Figures 2 and 3 as examples and then converted into a *Pareto* chart as shown in Figure 4. It clearly illustrates that the highest hazard risk in the workplace is indeed the ergonomics factor. The hazard occurs almost every day depending on the numbers of patients and time taken for the dental treatment for a patient.

1. Hazard Iden		tification		2. Risk Anal	_	3. Risk Control		
No.	Work Activity	Hazard	Which can Cause/Effect	Existing Risk Control (if any)	Likelihood (A)	Severity (B)	Risk (A×B)	Recommended Control Measures
1	Mixing dental materials using High Speed Mixer & Alginate Mixer	Mechanical – Vibration from instruments	May result in injury if the lid of the appliances is not closed and exposed to the hand	Perform work processes based on the Standard Operating Procedures	2	2	4	Existing Control Measures
	Conduct dental treatment such as filling, scaling, minor oral surgery, extraction, endodontic treatment and prosthetic treatment using Dental Chair	Physical – Rotation of dental drill	Injuries due to burs handpiece	Use appropriate speed	2	2	4	
		Biology – Saliva and blood of patients	Cross infection	Use PPE such as gloves, mask, isolation gown, face shield				
2		Physical – sharp	Can cause accidental injection	Use tweezers when handling needle syringe	2	з	6	Existing Control Measure
		tools and needles	Wounded by sharp tools	Sharp equipment is thrown directly into a sharp equipment bin				

Figure 2: HIRARC analysis (Example 1)

1

		2. Risk Ana	3. Risk Control					
No.	Work Activity	Hazard	Which can Cause/Effect	Existing Risk Control (if any)	Likeli hood (A)	Severity (B)	Risk (AxB)	Recommended Control Measures
2	Conduct dental treatment such as filling, scaling, minor oral surgery, extraction, endodontic treatment and prosthetic	Ergonomic- Height chairs that do not fit the Dental Chair	Discomfort at the operator & cause b ack pain	Adjust the Chai r and Dental Chair according to the correct body Posture	4	3	12	Bristing Control Measures
	treatment using Dental Chair	Physical-Electric	Potentially expose d to electric shock due to damage Dental Chair	Do Regular Mai ntenance	2	3	6	
3	The use of Dental x-ray	Physical- Radiation from Dental x-ray	Skin burns & Carcinogenic	Application of L ead Apron & ap plication of bad ges by the patient by film S creen divider op erator Lead Do regular maintenance	2	3	6	Đả sting Control Measures

Figure 3: HIRARC analysis (Example 2)



Figure 4: A *Pareto* chart showing the top five hazards at UHC, UTM

Then, an interview was done using the body discomfort survey (BDS) form. This is to identify the parts of the body that experience or show the main symptoms of pain during the working hours at the workplace. Table 1 illustrates the results of the survey.

Table 1: Results of respo	onder	nts' fe	eedba	ick fo	or the	leve	l of d	iscor	nfort	using	g BDS
Level of discomfort	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Total
of body											
Neck								3			3
Left shoulder								3			3
Left elbow/forearm					3						3
Left wrist/hand							3				3

Left hip/thigh/buttock		1	1		1		3
Left knee		1	1	1			3
Left ankle/foot		1	2				3
Right shoulder					3		3
Right elbow/forearm			3				3
Upper back					3		3
Lower back						3	3
Right hand/wrist					2	1	3
Right hip/ thigh/buttock			1	1	1		3
Right knee		1	1		1		3
Right ankle/foot	2	1					3

The green indicator (Levels 1 - 3) shows the zone showing no pain. The yellow indicator (Level 4 - 7) indicates light pain to medium pain while the red indicator (Level 8 - 10) represents painful to very painful. The result shows that the dentists have the same experience of pain (red indicator) on neck, left shoulder and lower back.

Next, further observation/study was done on the posture of the dentists during the dental care operation. The RULA analysis was employed to analyze the posture as shown in Figure 5. It was taken during performing filling process that took about 20 to 30 minutes of operation. RULA requires the investigation on the degree of the body posture, load, sustained posture and repetitive motion. The results of RULA analysis indicated a final score value of 7 which means that it requires '*investigate and implement change*' as depicted in Figure 6.



Figure 5: Filling process posture was chosen in the analysis



Figure 6: RULA analysis

5.0 DISCUSSION AND IMPROVEMENTS

Precision and concentration are the work demands that are normally practiced in the dentistry profession. From the HIRARC and *Pareto* analysis, the ergonomics issue is the top hazard problem identified. It typically occurred during the process of scaling, filling and tooth extraction. The dentists tend to lean their body forward in order to achieve better vision. They face the awkward posture such as bending, abduction hand and sustained posture; forceful exertion such as gripping, pinching; small continuous vibration, and eye fatigue is also a typical practiced procedure.

Control measures need to be proposed as the next stage in the study. The main criteria of the design should include some supports on hand and neck. This is based on the work observation (RULA analysis) and the symptoms (BDS analysis). The morphological chart was used to study some potential designs and product availability in the market as shown in Table 2. Then, the best combination of the alternative concepts was subsequently chosen.



Table 2: Morphological chart

Next, the selected conceptual design was developed. It was chosen after completing the evaluation matrix as shown in Table 3. Even though the chosen concept has the highest score in the evaluation matrix, some modifications were still needed in order to produce an effective design and good quality.

		Tab	le 3: Th	ne evalu	ation mat	rix			
Cuitouio	Waiahtaga				Score	e/Point			
Criteria	weightage -	Cone	ept 1	Con	cept 2	Con	cept 3	Conc	ept 4
Ease of handling	15	4	60	4	60	3	45	3	45
Ease of use	30	4	120	4	120	3	90	3	90
Durability	15	3	45	4	60	4	60	4	60
Maintenance	10	4	40	4	40	4	40	4	40
Portability	10	4	40	4	40	4	40	4	40
Cost	20	2	40	3	60	3	60	3	60
Total score	100	19	345	20	380	18	335	18	335
Rank			2		1		3	4	4

The final design was then analyzed using an engineering analysis based on the engineering mechanics principle. A static analysis was performed by considering the loads on the specific parts of the dentist chair. The forces applied to the system were based on the following assumptions: body mass is 80 kg including the head with a mass of 20 kg and the lean force on the front body support is 200 N. Figure 7 shows the static analysis done in CATIA software.



Figure 7: Static analysis of the final design in CATIA

In order to validate the suggested design, RULA analysis based on a certain body posture in CATIA software has been conducted assuming a manikin leaning forward at an angle of 27 degrees as depicted in Figure 7. All criteria used in the RULA worksheet have been implemented and analyzed. The score for each upper limb body part can be seen in Figure 8 and the final score is 2, implying that the posture was deemed acceptable.





	the second s		
Parameters	Details		
osture	+ Upper Arm:	1 💼	
Static Static Repeated	+ Forearm:	2	
peat Frequency	+ Wrist:	2	
<4 Times/min. O >4 Times/min.	+ Wrist Twist:	1 💼	
	Posture A:	2	
Arm supported/Person leaning	Muscle:	0	
Arms are working across midline	Force/Load:	0	
Check balance	Wrist and Arm:	2	
	+ Neck:	1 -	
ad: Okg	+ Trunk:	2	
Score	Leg:	1 -	
inal Score: 2	Posture B:	2	
cceptable	Neck, Trunk and L	ea: 2	

Figure 8: Improved RULA analysis

6.0 CONCLUSION

Dentists faced with ergonomics issues due to various awkward postures (sustained posture, bending and abduction hand), forceful exertion (gripping and pinching), small continuous vibration and eye fatigue. After some analyses of the study have been carried out, the control measures were accordingly suggested. It can be concluded that the objectives of this study to analyze and improve the dentists' working posture related to the individual and task in a dental clinic have been accomplished and some control measures including a practical engineering design as an illustration has been proposed.

REFERENCES

- 1. Chaffin D.B., 2007. Human Motion Simulation for Vehicle and Workplace Design, *Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing and Service Industries*, 17(5): 475-484.
- DOSH, 2018. Guidelines for Manual Handling at Workplace, Putrajaya: Ministry of Human Resources, Retrieved from: http://www.dosh.gov.my/index.php/en/competentperson-form/occupational-health/guideline/ergonomics/2959-guideline-for-manualhandling-at-workplace-2018. [Accessed: 10 June 2020].
- 3. Adeyemi H.O., Adejuyigbe S., Ismaila S. and Adekoya A.F., 2015. Low Back Pain Assessment Application for Construction Workers, *Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology*, 13(3): 419-434.
- 4. Smallwood J., 2015. Designing for Construction Ergonomics, *Procedia Manufacturing*, 3: 6400-6407.

- Isler M., Kucuk M. and Guner M., 2018. Ergonomic Assessment of Working Postures in Clothing Sector with Scientific Observation Methods, *International Journal of Clothing Science and Technology*, 30(6): 757-771.
- 6. Md Sirat R., 2016. The Relationships Between Ergonomics and Safety Culture Amongst Safety and Health Officers (SHOs) In Manufacturing Companies in Malaysia, PhD Thesis, UTM.
- Abu Bakar R., Valendriyani N., Lee S.C., 2019. Musculoskeletal Disorders Among Dentists and Dental Students in West Sumatera, Indonesia, *Journal of Dentomaxillofacial Science (J Dentomaxillofac Sci)*, 4(2): 92-95.
- Jadhav H.C., Vishwakarma P.Y., Dodamani A.S., Kshirsagar M.M., Dodamani D.A. and Naik R.G., 2018. Awareness Among Dentists Regarding Ergonomics in Dental Practice: A Cross-sectional Survey, *Journal of Oral Health and Community Dentistry*, 12(3): 85-89.
- 9. Barry R., Spolarich A., Weber M., Krause D., Woodall W. and Bailey J., 2017. Impact of Operator Positioning on Musculoskeletal Disorders and Work Habits Among Mississippi Dental Hygienists, *Journal of Dental Hygiene*, 91(6): 6-14.
- Mulimani P., Hoe V., Hayes M., Idiculla J., Abas A. and Karanth L., 2018. Ergonomic Interventions for Preventing Musculoskeletal Disorders in Dental Care Practitioners (Review), *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 10. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD011261.pub2.
- 11. Cynthia L.S., 2020. An Investigation of Dental Students' Ergonomic Practices at the University of Mississippi Medical Center, PhD Thesis, University of Mississippi Medical Center, USA.
- Oviya V.J. and Thenmozhi M.S., 2018. Awareness on the Effects of Ergonomics Interventions on Work-related Upper Extremity Musculoskeletal Disorders among Undergraduate Dental Students, *Drug Invention Today*, 10(12): 2531-2535.
- 13. Garcia P., Wajngarten D. and Campos J., 2018. Development of a Method to Assess Compliance with Ergonomic Posture in Dental Students, *Journal of Education and Health Promotion*, 7(44). doi:10.4103/jehp.66_17.
- 14. McLaren W. and Parrott L., 2018. Do Dental Students Have Acceptable Working Posture?, *British Dental Journal*, 225(59). doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.2018.520.
- El-Sallamy R.M., Atlam S.A., Kabbash I., El-Fatah S.A. and El-Flaky A., 2017. Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice towards Ergonomics among Undergraduates of Faculty of Dentistry, Tanta University, Egypt, *Environmental Science and Pollution. Research*. doi:10.1007/s11356-017-8615-3.
- Ching S., Szeto G., Lai G., Lai X., Chan Y. and Cheung K., 2018. Exploring the Synergic Effects of Nursing Home Work on Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders among Nursing Assistants, *Workplace Health & Safety*, 66(3). doi: 10.1177/2165079917717497.
- Gopinadh A., Devi K., Chiramana S., Manne P., Sampath A. and Babu M., 2013. Ergonomics and Musculoskeletal Disorder: As an Occupational Hazard in Dentistry, *Journal of Contemporary Dental Practice*, 14(2): 299-303. doi:10.5005/jp-journals-10024-1317.
- Yan P., Yang Y., Zhang L., Li F., Huang A., Wang Y., . . . Yao H., 2018. Correlation Analysis between Work-Related Musculoskeletal Disorders and The Nursing Practice Environment, Quality of Life, And Social Support, *The Nursing Professionals. Medicine* (*Baltimore*), 97(9): e0026. doi:10.1097/md.00000000000 10026.
- Gupta A., Bhat M., Mohammed T., Bansal N. and Gupta G., 2014. Ergonomics in Dentistry, International Journal of Clinical Pediatric Dentistry, 7(1): 30-34. doi:10.5005/jp-journals-10005-1229.
- 20. Moodley R., Naidoo S. and van Wyk J., 2018. The Prevalence of Occupational Health Related Problems in Dentistry: A Review of the Literature, *Journal of Occupational Health*, 60: 111-125. doi:10.539/joh.17-0188-RA.